Stock Markets Integration in Asian Countries-Evidence from Wavelet multiple correlation and cross correlation

Abstract

This study examines the integration in nine Asian stock markets using a new methodology of wavelet multiple correlation and multiple cross-correlation proposed by Javier Fernandez (2012). This novel approach takes care of several limitations which are encountered when conventional pair wise wavelet correlation and cross correlation are used to assess the comovement in the set of stock indices. Our results show that Asian stock markets are highly
integrated at lower frequencies and comparatively lesser integrated at higher frequencies.

From the perspective of international investors, the Asian stock markets therefore offer little potential gains from international portfolio diversification especially for monthly, quarterly and bi-annual time horizon investors, whereas, higher potential gains are expected at intraweek, weekly and fortnightly time horizons.
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I. Introduction

The study of stock market integration is very crucial in finance given the consequences for asset allocation decisions and portfolio diversification. Highly integrated stock market indices imply low benefits of international diversification, whereas, segmented stock markets, would enable portfolio managers to diversify and take benefits of differences in markets. Ever since Grubel (1968), the first treatise on the benefits of international portfolio diversification, issues related to co-movement of stock market returns have received lot of attention in international finance. A plethora of research activity has emerged on the co-movement of international stock prices (see, for example, Lin et al. (1994), Karolyi and Stulz (1996), Forbes and Rigobon (2002), Brooks and Del Negro (2004), Yang. J et al (2006)). Conventionally, the study of stock returns comovement has been undertaken through the correlation coefficient (see, for example, Brooks and Del Negro (2004)). Later on more sophisticated methods like rolling window correlation (see, for example, Brooks and Del Negro (2004)), non-overlapping sample periods (see, for example, King and Wadhwani (1990) and Lin et al. (1994)) and cointegration (See, for example Voronkova, S. (2004)) have also been put into use. In Asia studies based on Cointegration have investigated the extent to which stock markets in the region are integrated and, in turn, have some implications to diversification opportunities in Asian stock markets (Chan, Gup, and Pan, 1992; Hung and Cheung, 1995; DeFusco, Geppert, and Tsetsekos, 1996; Masih and Masih, 2001). Other related studies like Chung and Liu, 1994; Arshanapalli, Doukas, and Lang, 1995; Cheung, 1997; Janakiramanan and Lamba, 1998; Dekker, Sen, and Young, 2001 employ vector autoregression (VAR) techniques, including cointegration, Granger causality, impulse response analysis, and forecast error variance decomposition. In general, these studies offer mixed empirical evidence with respect to both long-run and short run relationships. Models based on

cointegration and Error correction however are plagued with several issues. For example, these models have been designed to deal with just two time frames or frequencies. However, given the heterogeneous trading in stock markets, participants in stock markets operate at different frequencies. Viewing through the portfolio diversification context, this means short term investors are interested in stock returns at higher frequencies, that is, short-term fluctuations, medium term investors at medium frequencies and the long term investors are interested in the relationship at lower frequencies, that is, long-term fluctuations. Therefore it is worthy to assess the stock market comovement at more than two frequencies. The frequency domain analysis, however, fails to reveal time information. Considering this limitation, some studies put employ the methodology of wavelet to distinguish the short term, medium term and long term comovements in stock returns. Recent application of wavelet analysis either use pair wise wavelet correlation or regression within the set of multiple stock indices while examining the return spill over effects between stock e.g. Lee (2004), Sharkasi et al. (2004), Fernandez (2005), Rua and Nones (2009) and Raghavan et. al (2010).

More recent study by Javier Fernandez (2012) on developed Eurozone markets has raised some of the issues related to the pair wise calculation of multi-scale correlations. For example, conventional wavelet methods that use stock returns for $n$ countries to calculate correlation and cross correlation end up with $n(n-1)/2$ wavelet correlation graphs and $J$ (order of wavelet transform) times as many correlation graphs.¹ This becomes cumbersome and confusing process for the analyst who finally ends up with conflicting results and large set of graphs. Moreover, within the multivariate context, pair wise correlation coefficient could be spurious due to possible relationship of one variable with other variables. Finally, pair wise correlation in multi-scale context leads to inflation of type 1 error due to experiment wise

¹ In this paper since we are using nine countries and level six decomposition to measure stock return correlations, using pair wise wavelet correlation and cross correlation we would have end up with 36 wavelet correlation graphs and 216 cross correlation graphs.

For a given wavelet scale, at 5% level of significance, doing pair wise wavelet correlation significance tests with nine unrelated series of stock returns, (number of stock returns we are analyzing in our study) will inflate the chance of type 1 error to $1 - (1 - \alpha)^9 = 0.84$. This enhances the chance of finding significant correlation to 84% at given wavelet scale somewhere among 36 tests instead of mare 5%.

Given the aforesaid disadvantages of conventional wavelet based correlation and cross correlation methods, this study therefore uses wavelet multiple-correlation and multiple cross-correlations proposed by Javier Fernandez (2012) to analyze the relationship in nine Asian stock markets. The proposed methodology could be useful over the conventional wavelet methods at least in three respects viz:

(1) Over all correlation within the multivariate set of different time scales in stock markets can be viewed just in two plots of wavelet multiple correlation and wavelet multiple cross correlation.

(2) This method provides protection against spurious correlation obtained from the pair wise correlations within the multivariate set of stock returns.

(3) Finally, the proposed method is useful in providing the protection against type 1 errors.

Our results based on this methodology show that there are strong linkages in the Asian stock markets and this integration grows stronger with lower frequencies. Asian stock markets therefore offer greater diversification opportunities at higher than lower frequencies.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section II gives a brief profile of selected Asian stock markets. The methodology is discussed in section III. Section IV sets out the data description and discussion of results and finally, Section V draws the conclusions and policy implications.
II. The Profile of Selected Asian Stock Markets

This section is developed to provide a brief background to the evolution of Asian stock markets (specific to countries under consideration) in the light of deregulation and liberalization. The liberalization of stock market basically means decision of government to allow foreigners to purchase shares in country’s domestic stock market. More specifically, it allows foreign investors to invest in equity securities of domestic market as well as confers right to domestic investors to transact equity securities in foreign country. The process of stock market liberalization has remained quite different for developing Asian economies compared to the stock markets of developed economies. While by early 1970s about 80 percent of stock markets in mature markets were already liberalized (e.g. Canada, France,

Table.1 Financial markets, Liberalization Dates: Selected Asian Economies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Liberalization date</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Liberalization date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Jan 85</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Jan 91p/May 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Jul 73/Jan 75p/84</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Jan 87p/Apr 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Dec 88p/Aug 89</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>-NA-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Pre 73</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Jan 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Jan86*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table reports date of stock market liberalization for the different stock markets considered in this study. Where there is no information about the month of liberalization, we use January (December) if the corresponding report indicates that liberalization is implemented at the beginning (end) of the year. Pre 73 (Pre 73p) means that the sector is already fully (partially) liberalized at that time, with no significant measures taken at that date.


Germany, Italy, United Kingdom, United States), the liberalization for most of the Asian stock markets realized only during 1980s except for Hong Kong (Kaminsky and Schmukler, 2003).

In fact, it is only during the latter half of 1980s and early years of 1990s, most of the governments in emerging Asian markets have gradually liberalized their stock markets (details presented in Table.1). Moreover, the history of modern China’s stock market is relatively young. The establishment of modern China’s securities market started in 1986 and the Shanghai Stock Exchange (SHSE) was opened on 19th December 1990 (Lean 2010). Nevertheless, the stock market of China remained mostly semi-repressed until late 1990s, which has immunized the Chinese economy from Asian Crisis of 1997-98 (Lee, 2002). With the accession to WTO, however, China now has opened its stock markets to international investors.

### III. Methodology

The calculation of wavelet correlation involves the construction of variances of \( \{x_t, y_t\} \) and covariances \( \{x_t\} \) and \( \{y_t\} \) at different wavelet scales. Wavelet variance essentially refers to the substitution of variability over certain scales for the global measure of variability estimated by sample variance. The wavelet variance of stochastic process \( X \) is estimated using the MODWT coefficients for scale \( \tau_j = 2^{-j/4} \) through:

\[
\sigma_x^2(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{k=-l_j}^{l_j} (\hat{W}_{j,k})^2
\]
Where $\hat{W}_{j,k}$ the MODWT wavelet coefficient of variable $X$ at scale is $\tau_j$. $\hat{N}_j = N = L_j + 1$ is the number of coefficients unaffected by boundary, and $L_j = (2^j - 1)(L - 1) + 1$ is the length of the scale $\tau_j$ wavelet filter.

The wavelet covariance decomposes the covariance between two stochastic processes on a scale-by-scale. The wavelet covariance at scale $\tau_j$ can be written as follows:

$$\gamma_{xy}(\tau_j) = \text{cov}_{xy}(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{k=L_j-1}^{N_j-1} \hat{W}_{j,k} \hat{Y}_{j,k}$$

Given the wavelet covariance for $\{x_t, y_t\}$ and wavelet variances for $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$, the MODWT estimator of wavelet correlation can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{\rho}_{xy}(\tau_j) = \frac{\text{Cov}_{xy}(\tau_j)}{\hat{\sigma}_x^2(\tau_j)\hat{\sigma}_y^2(\tau_j)}$$

(1)

The wavelet cross-correlation decomposes the cross-correlation between two time series on a scale-by-scale basis. Thus it becomes possible to see how the association between two time series changes with time horizons. Genaçay et al. (2002) define the wavelet cross-correlation as:

$$\hat{\rho}_{x,y}(\tau_j) = \frac{\gamma_{x,y}(\tau_j)}{\hat{\sigma}_x^2(\tau_j)\hat{\sigma}_y^2(\tau_j)}$$

(2)

Where $\sigma^2_{x,y}(\tau_j)$, $\sigma^2(\tau_j)$ are, respectively, the wavelet variances for $x_{1,t}$ and $x_{2,t}$ associated with scale $\tau_j$ and $\gamma_{x,y}(\tau_j)$, and the wavelet covariance between $x_{1,t}$ and $x_{2,t-k}$ associated with scale $\tau_j$. The usual cross-correlation is used to determine lead-lag relationships.
between two time series; the wavelet cross-correlation gives a lead-lag relationship on a scale by scale basis.

However, owing to its several limitations (Discussed in Introduction) of pair wise correlation and cross-correlation, wavelet multiple correlation and cross-correlation suggested by Javier Fernandez (2012) have been found useful. A multivariate stochastic process \(X_t = (x_{1t}, x_{2t}, \ldots, x_{nt})\) is defined. If \(w_{\lambda} = (w_{1\lambda}, w_{2\lambda}, \ldots, w_{n\lambda})\) represents the respective scale \(\lambda\) wavelet coefficients obtained by applying MODWT to each \(x_{\mu}\) process. The wavelet multiple correlation (WMC) \(\varphi_X(\lambda_j)\), defined as one single set of multi-scale correlations can be calculated from \(X_t\) as follows. For each wavelet scale \(\lambda_j\), the square root of the regression coefficient of determination is calculated in that linear combination of variables \(w_{1\mu}, i = 1, \ldots, n\), for which coefficient of determination is a maximum. The coefficient of determination corresponding to the regression of a variable \(z_i\) on a set of regressors \(\{z_k, k \neq i\}\), is obtained as \(R^2 = 1 - 1/\rho^i\), where \(\rho^i\) is the i-th diagonal element of the inverse of the correlation matrix \(P\).

The WMC \(\varphi_X(\lambda_j)\) is calculated as:

\[
\varphi_X(\lambda_j) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\max diag P_j^{-1}} - 1},
\]

Here \(P_j\) refers to the \(n \times n\) correlation matrix of \(w_{\lambda}\), and the max diag (·) operator provides selection for the largest element in the diagonal of the argument. In the regression of a \(z_i\) on the rest of variables in the system, the \(R^2\) coefficient can be shown to be equal to the square of correlation between the observed values of \(z_i\) and the fitted values \(\hat{z}_i\) obtained from such regression.

The WMC \(\varphi_X(\lambda_j)\) can also be defined as:
\[ \varphi_\lambda(\lambda_j) = \text{Corr}(w_{ij}, \hat{w}_{ij}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(w_{ij}, \hat{w}_{ij})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(w_{ij}) \text{Var}(\hat{w}_{ij})}}, \] (4)

Where the wavelet variances and covariance are given by:

\[ \text{Var}(w_{ij}) = \overline{\delta}_j^2 = \frac{1}{T_j} \sum_{t=1}^{T_j-1} w_{ij}^2 = \] (5)

\[ \text{Var}(\hat{w}_{ij}) = \overline{\delta}_j^2 = \frac{1}{T_j} \sum_{t=1}^{T_j-1} \hat{w}_{ij}^2 = \] (6)

\[ \text{Cov}(w_{ij}, \hat{w}_{ij}) = \overline{\gamma}_j = \frac{1}{T_j} \sum_{t=1}^{T_j-1} w_{ij} \hat{w}_{ij} = \] (7)

Where \( w_{ij} \) on the set of regressors \( \{w_k, k \neq i\} \) leads to maximization of the coefficient of determination, \( \hat{w}_{ij} \) represents the corresponding fitted values. The number of wavelet coefficients affected by the boundary associated with a wavelet filter of length \( L \) and scale \( \lambda_j \) is given by \( L_j = (2^{j} - 1)(L - 1) + 1 \), then we have \( \lambda_j = T - L_j + 1 \) the number of coefficients unaffected by the boundary conditions.

Finally, allowing a lag \( \tau \) between observed and fitted values of the variable selected as the criterion variable at each scale \( \lambda_j \) we may also define the wavelet multiple cross-correlation (WMCC) as

\[ \varphi_{X_i}(\lambda_j) = \text{Corr}(w_{ij}, \hat{w}_{ij+\tau}) = \frac{\text{Cov}(w_{ij}, \hat{w}_{ij+\tau})}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(w_{ij}) \text{Var}(\hat{w}_{ij+\tau})}}, \]

For the construction of confidence intervals it is assumed that \( X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_r\} \) is a realization of multivariate Gaussian stochastic process of Equation (1)

and \( \tilde{W}_j = \{\tilde{w}_{j0} \ldots \tilde{w}_{j,T-1}\} = \{(\tilde{w}_{i,j0} \ldots \tilde{w}_{i,T-1})\}_{i=1 \ldots J}, \) are vectors of wavelet coefficients obtained by applying a MODWT of order J to each of the univariate time series \( \{x_{i1} \ldots x_{iT}\} \) for \( i = 1 \ldots n \).

If \( \tilde{\phi}_X(\lambda_j) \) be the sample wavelet correlation obtained from (1) then

\[ \tilde{Z}_j \sim FN(z_j, (T/2^j - 3)^{-1}), \]

Where

\[ \tilde{Z}_j = \arctanh(\tilde{\phi}_X(\lambda_j)) \] and \( FN \) stands for folded normal distribution.

The confidence interval (CI) for the sample wavelet correlation coefficient is given as:

\[ CI_{1-a}(\varphi_X(\lambda_j)) = \tanh[\tilde{Z}_j \pm \Phi^{-1}_{1-a/2} \sqrt{T/2^j - 3}], \] (8)

**IV. Data, Results and Discussion**

In this section we use the wavelet multiple correlation and wavelet multiple cross-correlation using daily data of Nine Asian stock market indices as follows: India (BSE 30), China (SSEC), Japan (NIKKEI 225), Malaysia (KLCI), Hong Kong (HSI), Singapore (STI), Korea

**Table 2: Descriptive statistics of Asian Stock returns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>India</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>Singapore</th>
<th>Korea</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Taiwan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The empirical data which have been taken from Thomson database used in the study are daily from 4 January 2005 to 28 February 2012. As for missing data due to different public holidays in Asian stock markets, some daily observations were deleted. After matching the daily observations among the nine markets there were 1695 observations.

(KOSPI), Indonesia (JCI), and Taiwan (TWII). The empirical data which have been taken from Thomson database used in the study are daily from 4 January 2005 to 28 February 2012. As for missing data due to different public holidays in Asian stock markets, some daily observations were deleted. After matching the daily observations among the nine markets there were 1695 observations.

---

1. These include some important countries like Asian tigers (Hong Kong, South Korea, Singapore and Taiwan), Asian giants (China and India), Emerging markets (Malaysia and Indonesia), and Developed Asian market (Japan).
All indices were then transformed into daily returns by taking the log difference. Selected descriptive statistics of daily returns for all the stock market indices are presented in Table 2. Sample means, standard deviations, maximums, minimums, skewness, kurtosis and the Jarque–Bera statistic are reported. India, China, Malaysia, Korea and Indonesia have negative skewness, indicating that large negative stock returns are more common than large positive returns. Kurtosis statistics shows that all indices return series are leptokurtic, with significantly fatter tails and higher peaks. From Jarque–Bera statistics for all the indices strongly reject the null hypothesis that their distributions are normal.

Table 3: Time interpretation of different frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W_{i1}$</th>
<th>2 ~ 4 days</th>
<th>Intraweek scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i2}$</td>
<td>4 ~ 8 days</td>
<td>Weekly scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i3}$</td>
<td>8 ~ 16 days</td>
<td>Fortnightly scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{4i}$</td>
<td>16 ~ 32 days</td>
<td>Monthly scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{5i}$</td>
<td>32 ~ 64 days</td>
<td>Monthly to quarterly scales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{6i}$</td>
<td>64 ~ 128 days</td>
<td>Quarterly to biannual scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to calculate the wavelet multiple correlation we begin by decomposing the time series of Stock returns into different time scales using Maximal Overlap Discrete Wavelet Transform (MODWT). We choose the *Maximal Overlap Discrete Wavelet Transform* (MODWT) over the more conventional orthogonal DWT because, by giving up orthogonality, the MODWT gains attributes that are far more desirable in economic applications. For example, the MODWT can handle input data of any length, not just powers
of two; it is translation invariant – that is, a shift in the time series results in an equivalent shift in the transform; it also has increased resolution at lower scales since it oversamples data (meaning that more information is captured at each scale); the choice of a particular wavelet filter is not so crucial if MODWT is used and, finally, excepting the last few coefficients, the MODWT is not affected by the arrival of new information. The decomposition is carried out by using MODWT with Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 8. Given the sample of 1695 observations and maximum decomposition possibility of \[\log_2(T)\], we could have decomposed all the stock return series into ten details and one smooth component. However, for higher level decompositions, feasible wavelet coefficients get smaller we choose to decompose the time series of stock returns into six details \((w_{i1}, \ldots, w_{i6})\) and one smooth component. The corresponding time dynamics of each wavelet coefficient is given in Table 3.

Wavelet multiple contemporaneous correlation with upper and lower bound of 95% confidence intervals obtained from all the stock returns are shown in column 9 (lag zero) of Table 4 and its plots are shown in Figure 1 in the appendix. It can be seen that multiple correlations are high at all the time scales.\(^3\) In particular correlation at the highest frequency (Intraweek) is 0.81 for weekly 0.85, for fortnightly and monthly 0.89 respectively and this correlation is seen to be growing stronger for lower frequencies and reaching 0.95 at lowest frequency. This means that Asian stock markets are nearly perfectly integrated in the long run (Monthly, Quarterly and biannual scales), since the returns obtained in any of the Asian stock market can almost be explained by the overall performance in other Asian markets. The discrepancies between Asian stock markets are small and almost fade within three to six months. We present the wavelet multiple cross-correlations for the different wavelet scales

\(^3\) Results however should be interpreted with caution. Confidence intervals are based on fisher’s result for usual bivariate correlation. We rely on simulation exercises carried in Javier Fernandez (2012), which show that this could be applicable for multivariate correlation also.
with leads and lags up to one month in Table 4 and Figure 2 in the appendix. The country that maximises the multiple correlation against the linear combination of other countries is shown in the upper right corner of Figure 2. As evident from Tables 4 and Figure 2 (in the appendix), we find that multiple cross correlation gets stronger with lower frequencies but gets weaker with successive lags. It is interesting to see that at the higher frequencies $w_{11}, w_{12}$ and $w_{13}$, Hong Kong maximises the multiple correlation against the linear combination of other countries, whereas at other lower frequencies Singapore maximises the multiple correlation against the linear combination of other countries. This indicates that Hong Kong has a potential to lead or lag other markets at higher frequencies ($w_{11}, w_{12}$ and $w_{13}$) but at lower frequencies Singapore has the potential to lead or lag other markets. Nevertheless, given the symmetry (zero skewness) in Figure 2, there is no clear evidence of lead-lag potential of two countries. We also find that multiple cross correlations get stronger with lower frequencies. Next, we test the robustness of the results using Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 4, presented in Table 5. The results drawn using this filter are corroborative to the earlier findings based on LA8 filter. Over all we find strong linkages in the Asian stock market returns, especially at lower frequencies. In essence, our results are similar to the results of Fernandez (2012). From the perspective of international investors, the Asian stock markets like European stock markets offer little potential gains from international portfolio diversification especially for monthly, quarterly and six monthly time horizon investors, whereas, they offer relatively higher potential gains at intraweek, weekly and fortnightly time horizons.
Table 4: Wavelet multiple correlation among Asian stock returns at different leads and lags using Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales</th>
<th>-20</th>
<th>-15</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>+15</th>
<th>+20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i1}$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i2}$</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i3}$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{4i}$</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{5i}$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{6i}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 5:** Wavelet multiple among between Asian stock returns at different leads and lags using Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales ↓</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cor</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i1}$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i2}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i3}$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{4i}$</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{5i}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W_{6i}$</th>
<th>-30</th>
<th>-25</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>+25</th>
<th>+30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i1}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i2}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{i3}$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{4i}$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{5i}$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{6i}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cor = Correlation Coefficient, L = Lower bound of 95% confidence Interval, U= Upper bound of 95% confidence Interval.
V. Conclusion and policy implications

We applied a new methodology based on wavelet multiple correlation and wavelet multiple cross correlation to study the spillovers in the nine Asian stock markets. The wavelet multiple correlation was calculated as the square root of the regression coefficient of determination in that linear combination of wavelet coefficients for which the coefficient of determination is maximum. Our results based on wavelet multiple correlation indicated that Asian stock markets are highly integrated at all studied frequencies. Moreover, this integration grows stronger with lower frequencies. Next, in order to calculate wavelet multiple cross-correlation, we allowed thirty lags between observed and fitted values from the same linear combination as before at each of the wavelet scales. It was found that Hong Kong (at higher frequencies) and Singapore (at lower frequencies) against the linear combination of other stock returns were correlated at all lags and frequencies. However, multiple cross-correlation showed that cross-correlation increases with lower frequencies but decreases with lags at all frequencies. These results for Asian stock markets are the unique contribution of this study. We would have end up with erroneous and spurious thirty six wavelet correlation graphs and 216 wavelet cross-correlation graphs using conventional pair wise correlations. In nutshell, our results showed that there are more potential gains of diversification at lower frequencies (longer time horizons) than higher frequencies (shorter time horizons) in Asian stock markets. More specifically, the Asian stock markets provide more portfolio diversification opportunity for the short term investors compared to their long term counterparts.
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Figure 1. Wavelet multiple correlations for the Asian equity markets at different time scales using Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 8. The coloured lines correspond to the upper and lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval.
Figure 2. Wavelet multiple cross-correlations for the Asian equity markets at different time scales using Daubechies least asymmetric (LA) wavelet filter of length 8, (with Hong Kong acting as potential leader/follower at scales D1, D2 and D3 and Singapore acting as potential leader/follower at scales D2, D3 and D4 respectively). The coloured lines correspond to the upper and lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval.